



Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2674**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Tsuyoshi TAMURA

Group Art Unit: 2674

Application No.: 09/911,829

Examiner: K. Nguyen

Filed: July 25, 2001

Docket No.: 110196

For: RAM-INCORPORATED DRIVER, AND DISPLAY UNIT AND ELECTRONIC  
EQUIPMENT USING THE SAME

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the November 30, 2004 Office Action, reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-32 are pending.

An Information Disclosure Statement was filed on January 13, 2005. Applicant attaches a substitute Form PTO-1449 that corrects a typographical error in the citation for reference 5. It is requested that the Examiner consider the references cited in that Information Disclosure Statement.

Claims 1-32 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-25 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/911,409. There is no such thing as a "judicially created doctrine" of double patenting. There is either 35 U.S.C. §101 double patenting or the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double